

Доклади на Българската академия на науките
Comptes rendus de l'Académie bulgare des Sciences

Tome 66, No 7, 2013

MATHEMATIQUES

Algèbre

LOWER BOUNDS AND INFINITY CRITERION FOR
BRAUER p -DIMENSIONS OF FINITELY-GENERATED
FIELD EXTENSIONS

Ivan D. Chipchakov

(Submitted by Academician V. Drensky on February 26, 2013)

Abstract

Let E be a field, p a prime number and F/E a finitely-generated extension of transcendency degree t . This paper shows that if the absolute Galois group \mathcal{G}_E is of nonzero cohomological p -dimension $\text{cd}_p(E)$, then the field F has Brauer p -dimension $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq t$ except, possibly, in case $p = 2$, the Sylow pro-2-subgroups of \mathcal{G}_E are of order 2, and F is a nonreal field. It announces that $\text{Brd}_p(F)$ is infinite whenever $t \geq 1$ and the absolute Brauer p -dimension $\text{abrd}_p(E)$ is infinite; moreover, for each pair (m, n) of integers with $1 \leq m \leq n$, there exists a central division F -algebra of exponent p^m and Schur index p^n .

Key words: Brauer group, Relative Brauer group, Schur index, Galois extension

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16K20; Secondary 12F20, 12G05, 12J10, 16K40

Partially supported by project No RD-08-241/12.03.2013 of Shumen University.

1. Introduction and index-exponent relations over finitely-generated field extensions. Let E be a field, $\text{Br}(E)$ its Brauer group, $s(E)$ the class of finite-dimensional associative central simple E -algebras, and $d(E)$ the subclass of division algebras $D \in s(E)$. It is known that $\text{Br}(E)$ is an abelian torsion group (cf. [16], Section 14.4), so it decomposes into the direct sum of its p -components $\text{Br}(E)_p$, where p runs across the set \mathbb{P} of prime numbers. Denote by $[A]$ the equivalence class in $\text{Br}(E)$ of any $A \in s(E)$. The degree $\text{deg}(A)$, the Schur index $\text{ind}(A)$, and the exponent $\text{exp}(A)$ (the order of $[A]$ in $\text{Br}(E)$) are important invariants of A . Note that $\text{deg}(A) = n \cdot \text{ind}(A)$, and $\text{ind}(A)$ and $\text{exp}(A)$ are related as follows (cf. [16], Sections 13.4, 14.4 and 15.2):

(1.1) $\text{exp}(A)$ divides $\text{ind}(A)$ and is divisible by every $p \in \mathbb{P}$ dividing $\text{ind}(A)$. For each $B \in s(E)$ with $\text{ind}(B)$ relatively prime to $\text{ind}(A)$, $\text{ind}(A \otimes_E B) = \text{ind}(A) \cdot \text{ind}(B)$; in particular, the tensor product $A \otimes_E B$ lies in $d(E)$, provided that $A \in d(E)$ and $B \in d(E)$.

As it is shown by Brauer, (1.1) fully describes the generally valid restrictions between Schur indices and exponents:

(1.2) Given a pair (m, n) of positive integers, such that $n \mid m$ and n is divisible by any $p \in \mathbb{P}$ dividing m , there is a field F and $D \in d(F)$ with $\text{ind}(D) = m$ and $\text{exp}(D) = n$ (Brauer, see [16], Section 19.6). One can take as F any rational (i.e. purely transcendental) extension of infinite transcendency degree over an arbitrary field F_0 .

A field E is said to be of Brauer p -dimension $\text{Brd}_p(E) = n$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, if n is the least integer for which $\text{ind}(D) \leq \text{exp}(D)^n$ whenever $D \in d(E)$ and $[D] \in \text{Br}(E)_p$. We say that $\text{Brd}_p(E) = \infty$, if there exists a sequence $D_\nu \in d(E)$, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $[D_\nu] \in \text{Br}(E)_p$ and $\text{ind}(D_\nu) > \text{exp}(D_\nu)^\nu$, for each index ν . By an absolute Brauer p -dimension (abbr, $\text{abrd}_p(E)$) of E , we mean the supremum $\sup\{\text{Brd}_p(R) : R \in \text{Fe}(E)\}$. Here and in the sequel, $\text{Fe}(E)$ denotes the set of finite extensions of E in a separable closure E_{sep} . In what follows, we denote by $\text{trd}(F/E)$ the transcendency degree and $I(F/E)$ stands for the set of intermediate fields of any extension F/E .

Clearly, $\text{Brd}_p(E) \leq \text{abrd}_p(E)$, for every field E and $p \in \mathbb{P}$. It is known that $\text{Brd}_p(E) = \text{abrd}_p(E) = 1$, for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$, in the following cases:

(1.3) (i) E is a global or local field (by class field theory, see, e.g., [2], Chs. VI and Ch. VII, by Serre and Tate, respectively);

(ii) E is the function field of an algebraic surface (defined) over an algebraically closed field E_0 [8, 12];

(iii) E is the function field of an algebraic curve over a pseudo algebraically closed field E_0 with $\text{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_{E_0}) > 0$ [5].

By a Brauer dimension and an absolute Brauer dimension of E , we mean the suprema $\text{Brd}(E) = \sup\{\text{Brd}_p(E) : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ and $\text{abrd}(E) = \sup\{\text{abrd}_p(E) : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$, respectively. It would be of interest to know whether the function fields of algebraic varieties over a global, local or algebraically closed field are of finite absolute Brauer dimensions. Note also that fields of finite absolute Brauer p -dimensions, for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$, are singled out for their place in research areas like Galois cohomology (cf. [9], Section 3, [3], Remark 3.6, and [4], the end of Section 3 and Corollary 5.7) and the structure theory of their locally finite-dimensional central division algebras (see [3], Proposition 1.1 and the paragraph at the bottom of page 2). These observations draw one's attention to the following open problem:

(1.4) Find whether the class of fields E of finite absolute Brauer p -dimensions, for a fixed $p \in \mathbb{P}$ different from $\text{char}(E)$, is closed under the formation of finitely-generated extensions.

The following result of [3] is used there for proving that the class of fields E with $\text{Brd}(E) < \infty$ is not closed under taking finitely-generated extensions:

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be a field, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, and let F/E be a finitely-generated extension such that $\text{trd}(F/E) = t \geq 1$. Then:*

- (i) $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq \text{abrd}_p(E) + t - 1$, if $\text{abrd}_p(E) < \infty$ and F/E is rational;
- (ii) When $\text{abrd}_p(E) = \infty$, there are $\{D_{n,m} \in d(F) : n \in \mathbb{N}, m = 1, \dots, n\}$ with $\exp(D_{n,m}) = p^m$ and $\text{ind}(D_{n,m}) = p^n$, for each admissible pair (n, m) ;
- (iii) $\text{Brd}_p(F) = \infty$, provided $p = \text{char}(E)$ and the degree $[E : E^p]$ is infinite, where $E^p = \{e^p : e \in E\}$; if $\text{char}(E) = p$ and $[E : E^p] = p^\nu < \infty$, then $\nu + t - 1 \leq \text{Brd}_p(F) < \nu + t$.

Theorem 1.1 is supplemented in [3], Section 3, as follows:

(1.5) Given a finitely-generated field extension F/E with $\text{trd}(F/E) = t \geq 1$ and $\text{abrd}_p(E) < \infty$ when p runs across some nonempty subset $P \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, there exists a finite subset $P(F/E)$ of P , such that $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq \text{abrd}_p(E) + t - 1$, for each $p \in P \setminus P(F/E)$.

It is worth noting that there exist field extensions F/E satisfying the conditions of (1.5), for $P = \mathbb{P}$, such that $P(F/E)$ is necessarily nonempty.

Example. Let E be a real closed field, F the function field of the Brauer–Severi variety corresponding to the symbol E -algebra $A = A_{-1}(-1, -1; E)$, and $F' = F \otimes_E E(\sqrt{-1})$. By the Artin–Schreier theory (cf. [11], Ch. XI, Theorem 2), then $E(\sqrt{-1}) = E_{\text{sep}}$, whence $\text{abrd}_p(E) = 0$, for all $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{2\}$. Since -1 does not lie in the norm group $N(E(\sqrt{-1})/E)$, it also follows that $A \in d(E)$. Note further that $\text{trd}(F/E) = 1$, $[A \otimes_E F] = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$, and $F'/E(\sqrt{-1})$ is a rational extension (see [18], Theorem 13.8 and Corollaries 13.9 and 13.16). In view of Tsen's theorem (cf. [16], Section 19.4), the noted property of F' ensures that it

is a C_1 -field, so it follows from [19], Ch. II, Proposition 6, that $\text{cd}(\mathcal{G}_{F'}) \leq 1$. As $A \otimes_E F \cong A_1(-1, -1; F)$ over F , the equality $[A \otimes_E F] = 0$ implies that F is a nonreal field, so it follows from the Artin–Schreier theory that \mathcal{G}_F is a torsion-free group. Finally, observing that $\mathcal{G}_{F'}$ embeds in \mathcal{G}_F as an open subgroup, one obtains from [19], Ch. I, 4.2, Corollary 3, that $\text{cd}(\mathcal{G}_F) \leq 1$, which means that $\text{abrd}(F) = 0 < \text{abrd}_2(E) = 1$.

Statement (1.1), Theorem 1.1 and basic properties of finitely-generated field extensions (cf. [11], Ch. X) imply the following:

(1.6) If the answer to (1.4) is affirmative for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $p \neq \text{char}(E)$, and each finitely-generated extension F/E with $\text{trd}(F/E) = t \geq 1$, then there exists $c_t(p) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\text{Brd}_p(\Phi) \leq c_t(p)$ whenever Φ/E is a finitely-generated extension and $\text{trd}(\Phi/E) < t$ (see also [3], Proposition 4.6).

Theorem 1.1 (i) shows that the solution to [1], Problem 4.5, concerning the possibility to find a good definition of a field dimension $\text{dim}(E)$, is negative except, possibly, in the case of $\text{abrd}(E) < \infty$. In addition, it implies that if $\text{abrd}(E) < \infty$ and [1], Problem 4.5, is solved affirmatively, for all finitely-generated extensions F/E , then the fields F satisfy much stronger conditions than the one conjectured by (1.6) (see [3], (1.5)). As to our next result (for a proof, see [3], Proposition 5.8), it indicates that the answer to (1.4) will be positive, for finitely-generated extensions F/E with $\text{trd}(F/E) \leq n$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if this is the case in zero characteristic (see also [3], Remark 5.9, for an application of de Jong’s theorem [8]):

(1.7) Let E be a field of characteristic $q > 0$ and F/E a finitely-generated extension. Then there exists a field E' with $\text{char}(E') = 0$ and a finitely-generated extension F'/E' satisfying the following:

- (i) $\mathcal{G}_{E'} \cong \mathcal{G}_E$ and $\text{trd}(F'/E') = \text{trd}(F/E)$;
- (ii) $\text{Brd}_p(F') \geq \text{Brd}_p(F)$, $\text{abrd}_p(F') \geq \text{abrd}_p(F)$, $\text{Brd}_p(E') = \text{Brd}_p(E)$ and $\text{abrd}_p(E') = \text{abrd}_p(E)$, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$ different from q .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 in [3] relies on the following two lemmas. When $\mu = 1$, the former one is a theorem due to Albert. Besides in [3], Section 3, a proof of the former lemma can be found in [15], Section 1.

Lemma 1.2. *A field E satisfies the inequality $\text{abrd}_p(E) \leq \mu$, for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$, if and only if, for each $E' \in \text{Fe}(E)$, $\text{ind}(\Delta_{E'}) \leq p^\mu$ whenever $\Delta_{E'} \in d(E')$ and $\exp(\Delta) = p$.*

Lemma 1.3. *Let K be a field, $F = K(X)$ a rational extension of K with $\text{trd}(F/K) = 1$, $f(X) \in K[X]$ a separable and irreducible polynomial over K , L an extension of K in K_{sep} obtained by adjunction of a root of f , v a discrete valuation of F acting trivially on K with a uniform element f , and (F_v, \bar{v}) a Henselization of (F, v) . Suppose that $\tilde{D} \in d(L)$ is an algebra of exponent p . Then*

L is K -isomorphic to the residue field of (F_v, \bar{v}) , and there exist $D' \in d(F_v)$ and $D \in d(F)$, such that $\exp(D) = \exp(D') = p$, $[D \otimes_F F_v] = [D']$, and D' is an inertial lift of \tilde{D} over F_v .

2. The main result. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following assertion which applied to a field with $\text{abrd}_p(E) = 0$, improves the inequality in Theorem 1.1 (i):

Theorem 2.1. *Let F be a finitely-generated extension of a field E with $\text{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_E) \neq 0$. Then $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq \text{trd}(F/E)$ except, possibly, when $p = 2$, the Sylow pro-2-subgroups of \mathcal{G}_E are of order 2, and F is a nonreal field.*

The following result is contained in [3], Propositions 4.6 and 5.10, and is obtained by the method of proving Theorem 2.1 (see also [4], (4.10) and Proposition 4.3):

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that E is a field of type pointed out in (1.3). Then $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq 1 + \text{trd}(F/E)$, for every finitely-generated extension F/E .*

Remark 2.3. (i) Theorem 2.1 ensures that $\text{Brd}_p(\Phi) \geq n$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, if Φ is a finitely-generated extension of a quasifinite field Φ_0 , and $\text{trd}(\Phi/\Phi_0) = n$. Therefore, one obtains following the proof of [3], Proposition 5.10, that the conclusion of Theorem 2.2 remains valid, if E is endowed with a Henselian discrete valuation whose residue field is quasifinite.

(ii) Given a finitely-generated field extension F/E with $\text{trd}(F/E) = k$, Theorem 2.1 implies Nakayama's inequalities $\text{Brd}_p(F) \geq k - 1$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$ (cf. [8], Section 2). When $\text{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_E) = 0$, for some p , and E is perfect in the case of $p = \text{char}(E)$, we have $\text{Brd}_p(F) = k - 1$ if and only if this holds in the case where E is algebraically closed. The claim that $\text{Brd}(F) = k - 1$ when E is algebraically closed is the content of the so-called Standard Conjecture, for function fields of algebraic varieties over an algebraically closed field (see [12], Section 1, [13], page 3, and for relations with (1.4), the end of [3], Section 4).

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on the same idea as the one of Theorem 1.1. It relies on the following lemmas proved in [3].

Lemma 2.4. *Let (K, v) be a nontrivially real-valued field, and (K_v, \bar{v}) a Henselization of (K, v) . Assume that $\Delta_v \in d(K_v)$ has exponent $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Then there exists $\Delta \in d(K)$, such that $\exp(\Delta) = p$ and $[\Delta \otimes_K K_v] = [\Delta_v]$.*

Lemma 2.4 is essentially due to SALTMAN [17], and our next lemma is a special case of the Grunwald–Wang theorem (cf. [14], Theorems 1 and 2).

Lemma 2.5. *Let F be a field, $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$ a finite set of non-equivalent nontrivial real-valued valuations of F , and for each index j , let F_{v_j} be a Henselization of K in K_{sep} relative to v_j , and L_j/F_{v_j} be a cyclic field extension of degree p^{μ_j} , for some $p \in P$ and $\mu_j \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $\mu = \max\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s\}$ and suppose that*

$\sqrt{-1} \in F$ in case $\mu \geq 3$, $p = 2$ and $\text{char}(F) = 0$. Then there exists a degree p^μ cyclic field extension L/F , such that $L_{v'_j}$ is $F_{v'_j}$ -isomorphic to L_j , where v'_j is a valuation of L extending v_j , for $j = 1, \dots, s$.

In the rest of this Section, we recall some general results on Henselian valuations which are used (often implicitly, like Lemma 1.3) for proving Theorem 2.1. A Krull valuation v of a field K is called Henselian, if v extends uniquely, up-to an equivalence, to a valuation v_L on each algebraic extension L of K . Assuming that v is Henselian, denote by $v(L)$ the value group and by \widehat{L} the residue field of (L, v_L) . It is known that \widehat{L}/\widehat{K} is an algebraic extension and $v(K)$ is a subgroup of $v(L)$. When L/K is finite and $e(L/K)$ is the index of $v(K)$ in $v(L)$, by Ostrowski's theorem [6], Theorem 17.2.1, $[\widehat{L}:\widehat{K}]e(L/K)$ divides $[L:K]$ and $[L:K][\widehat{L}:\widehat{K}]^{-1}e(L/K)^{-1}$ is not divisible by any $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $p \neq \text{char}(\widehat{K})$. In particular, if $\text{char}(\widehat{K})$ does not divide $[L:K]$, then $[L:K] = [\widehat{L}:\widehat{K}]e(L/K)$. Ostrowski's theorem implies that there are group isomorphisms $v(K)/pv(K) \cong v(L)/pv(L)$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, and in case $\text{char}(\widehat{K}) \nmid [L:K]$, they are canonically induced by the natural embedding of K into L .

As usual, a finite extension R of K is called inertial, if $[R:K] = [\widehat{R}:\widehat{K}]$ and \widehat{R} is separable over \widehat{K} . It follows from the Henselity of v that the compositum K_{ur} of inertial extensions of K in K_{sep} has the following properties:

- (2.1) (i) $v(K_{\text{ur}}) = v(K)$ and the finite extensions of K in K_{ur} are inertial;
(ii) Each finite extension of \widehat{K} in \widehat{K}_{sep} is \widehat{K} -isomorphic to the residue field of an inertial extension of K ; hence, \widehat{K}_{ur} is \widehat{K} -isomorphic to \widehat{K}_{sep} ;
(iii) K_{ur}/K is a Galois extension with $\mathcal{G}(K_{\text{ur}}/K) \cong \mathcal{G}_{\widehat{K}}$.

Similarly, it is known that each $\Delta \in d(K)$ has a unique, upto an equivalence, valuation v_Δ extending v so that the value group $v(\Delta)$ of (Δ, v_Δ) is abelian (see [7]). Note that $v(\Delta)$ includes $v(K)$ as an ordered subgroup of index $e(\Delta/K) \leq [\Delta:K]$, the residue division ring $\widehat{\Delta}$ of (Δ, v_Δ) is a \widehat{K} -algebra, and $[\widehat{\Delta}:\widehat{K}] \leq [\Delta:K]$. Moreover, by Ostrowski–Draxl's theorem (cf. [7], (1.2)), $e(\Delta/K)[\widehat{\Delta}:\widehat{K}] \mid [\Delta:K]$, and in case $\text{char}(\widehat{K}) \nmid [\Delta:K]$, $[\Delta:K] = e(\Delta/K)[\widehat{\Delta}:\widehat{K}]$. An algebra $D \in d(K)$ is called inertial, if $[D:K] = [\widehat{D}:\widehat{K}]$ and $\widehat{D} \in d(\widehat{K})$. In what follows, we also need the following results (see [7], Remark 3.4 and Theorems 2.8 and 3.1):

- (2.2) (i) Each $\widetilde{D} \in d(\widehat{K})$ has a unique, up-to an F -isomorphism, inertial lift D over K (i.e. $D \in d(K)$, D is inertial over K and $\widehat{D} = \widetilde{D}$);
(ii) The set $\text{IBr}(K)$ of Brauer equivalence classes of inertial K -algebras forms a subgroup of $\text{Br}(K)$ canonically isomorphic to $\text{Br}(\widehat{K})$;
(iii) For each $\Theta \in d(K)$ inertial over K , and any $R \in I(K_{\text{ur}}/K)$, $[\Theta \otimes_K R] \in \text{IBr}(R)$ and $\text{ind}(\Theta \otimes_K R) = \text{ind}(\Theta \otimes_{\widehat{K}} \widehat{R})$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let E be a field with $\text{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_E) > 0$, for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$, and let F/E be a finitely-generated extension. Throughout this Section, E_{sep} is identified with its E -isomorphic copy in F_{sep} , and for any field Y , $r_p(Y)$ denotes the rank of the Galois group $\mathcal{G}(Y(p)/Y)$ of the maximal p -extension $Y(p)$ of Y (in Y_{sep}) as a pro- p -group. Assuming that $\text{trd}(F/E) = t$ and G_p is a Sylow pro- p -subgroup of \mathcal{G}_E , we deduce Theorem 2.1 by proving the following:

(3.1) There exists $D \in d(F)$, such that $\exp(D) = p$, $\text{ind}(D) = p^t$ and D is presentable as a tensor product of cyclic F -algebras of degree p except, possibly, in the case where $p = 2$, G_2 is of order 2 and F is a nonreal field.

Let E_p be the fixed field and $o(G_p)$ the order of G_p . Our assumptions show that $r_p(E_p) \geq 1$, which implies the existence of a field $M \in \text{Fe}(E)$ with $r_p(M) \geq 1$ (apply the method of proving [16], Section 13.2, Proposition b). Moreover, M can be chosen to be nonreal unless $p = 2$ and $o(G_p) = 2$. Assuming that M is nonreal, one obtains from [20], Theorem 2, that there exists a \mathbb{Z}_p -extension Φ of M in E_{sep} . Hence, by Galois theory and the fact that \mathbb{Z}_p is continuously isomorphic to its open subgroups, $\Phi M'/M'$ is Galois with $\mathcal{G}(\Phi M'/M') \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, for each $M' \in \text{Fe}(E)$. This makes it easy to obtain from basic properties of valuation prolongations on finite extensions that M can be chosen as an E -isomorphic copy of the residue field of a height t valuation v of F , trivial on E with $v(F) = \mathbb{Z}^t$. Here \mathbb{Z}^t is viewed as an ordered abelian group with respect to the inversely-lexicographic ordering.

Let (F_v, \bar{v}) be a Henselization of (F, v) . First suppose that $t = 1$ and take $\pi \in F$ so that $\langle v(\pi) \rangle = v(F)$. Then v lies in an infinite system of nonequivalent discrete valuations of F trivial on E (cf. [2], Ch. II, Lemma 3.1). In view of Lemma 2.5, this implies the existence of degree p cyclic extensions F_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, of F , such that F_1/F is inertial relative to v , and $F_n \subset F_v$, $n \geq 2$. Let φ_n be a generator of $\mathcal{G}(F_n/F)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from the choice of F_1 that the cyclic F -algebra $(F_1/F, \sigma_1, \pi)$ lies in $d(F)$ and $(F_1/F, \sigma_1, \pi) \otimes_F F_v \in d(F_v)$, which proves (3.1) in case $t = 1$.

Assume now that $t \geq 2$, and fix elements $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_t \in K$ so that $v(F)$ be generated by the set $\{v(\pi_j) : j = 1, \dots, t\}$, and $H = \langle v(\pi_1) \rangle$ be the minimal nontrivial isolated subgroup of $v(F)$. Then v and H induce canonically on F a valuation v_H with $v_H(F) = v(F)/H$; also, they give rise to a valuation \hat{v}_H of the residue field F_H of (F, v_H) with $\hat{v}_H(F_H) = H$ and a residue field equal to M (cf. [6], Section 5.2). In addition, it is easily verified that F_H/E is a finitely-generated extension with $\text{trd}(F_H/E) = 1$. Hence, by the proof of the already considered special case of Theorem 2.1, there exist $D_H \in d(F_H)$ and $\Psi_H \in I(F_{H,\text{sep}}/F_H)$, such that $\text{ind}(D_H) = p$, $D_H \otimes_{F_H} \Psi_H \in d(\Psi_H)$, and $I(\Psi_H/F_H)$ contains infinitely many degree p cyclic extensions of F_H . Now observing that v_H is of height $t - 1$, and using repeatedly (2.2), Lemmas 2.4, 2.5 and [4], (3.1) (i), one proves that there exists a cyclic F -algebra $D' \in d(F)$, such that $\text{ind}(D') = p$, $D' \otimes_F F_{v_H} \in d(F_{v_H})$ and $D' \otimes_F F_{v_H}$ is an inertial lift of D_H over a Henselization F_{v_H} of F relative to v_H .

Similarly, it can be deduced from (2.2) that each degree p cyclic extension of F_H is realizable as the residue field of an inertial cyclic degree p extension of F relative to v_H . This implies the existence of an inertial extension $(F', v'_H)/(F, v_H)$, such that $[F': F] = [F'F_{v_H} : F_{v_H}] = p^{t-1}$, $D' \otimes_F F' \in d(F)$ and $F' = F_2 \dots F_t$, where F_i/F is a degree p cyclic extension of F , for $i = 2, \dots, t$. In view of Morandi's theorem (cf. [7], Proposition 1.4), it is now easy to construct an algebra $\Delta \in d(F)$, such that $\exp(\Delta) = p$, $\text{ind}(\Delta) = p^{t-1}$, Δ is presentable as a tensor product of cyclic F -algebras of degree p , $\Delta \otimes_F F_{v_H} \in d(F_{v_H})$, $\Delta \otimes_F F_{v_H}$ is nicely semi-ramified over F_{v_H} , in the sense of [7], and $(D' \otimes_F \Delta) \otimes_F F_{v_H} \in d(F_{v_H})$. Therefore, $D' \otimes_F \Delta \in d(F)$, $\exp(D' \otimes_F \Delta) = p$ and $\text{ind}(D' \otimes_F \Delta) = p^t$, which proves (3.1), under the hypothesis that $o(G_p) > 2$.

It remains to be seen that (3.1) holds when $p = 2$, F is formally real and $o(G_2) = 2$. By the Artin-Schreier theory, $o(G_2) = 2$ if and only if the fixed field E_2 is real closed. Our proof also relies on the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let E be a formally real field and F a finitely-generated extension of E with $\text{trd}(F/E) = 1$. Then F is formally real if and only if it has a discrete valuation v trivial on E , whose residue field \widehat{F} is formally real.*

Proof. It is known and easy to prove (cf. [10], Lemma 1) that if F is a nonreal field and ω is a discrete valuation of F trivial on E , then the residue field of (F, ω) is nonreal as well. Assume now that F is formally real, fix a real closure F' of F in F_{sep} , and put $E' = E_{\text{sep}} \cap F'$. Observe that $E_{\text{sep}}F'/F'$ is a Galois extension with $\mathcal{G}(E_{\text{sep}}F'/F') \cong \mathcal{G}_{E'}$. Since, by the Artin-Schreier theory, $F_{\text{sep}} = F'(\sqrt{-1}) = E_{\text{sep}}F'$, this means that $E_{\text{sep}} = E'(\sqrt{-1})$, whence, E' is a real closure of E in E_{sep} . Note also that $E'F/E'$ is finitely-generated, $\text{trd}(E'F/E') = 1$ and $E'F \subseteq F'$, i.e. the extension $E'F/E'$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1. This enables one to deduce from [10], Theorem 6 and Proposition, that $E'F$ has a discrete valuation v' trivial on E' and with a residue field E' . It is now easy to see that the valuation v of F induced by v' has the properties required by Lemma 3.1. Specifically, \widehat{F} is E -isomorphic to a finite extension of E in E' . \square

We are now in a position to prove the remaining case of (3.1). Suppose first that $t = 1$, put $F_0 = E(X)$, for some $X \in F$ transcendental over E , and denote by Ω_0 the extension of F_0 in F_{sep} generated by the square roots of the totally positive elements of F_0 (i.e. those realizable over F_0 as finite sums of squares, see [11], Ch. XI, Proposition 2). Then $F\Omega_0$ is formally real, which implies $A_{-1}(-1, -1; \Omega) \in d(\Omega)$, for each $\Omega \in I(F\Omega_0/F_0)$, proving the assertion of (3.1). Note also that Ω_0/F_0 is an infinite Galois extension with $\mathcal{G}(\Omega_0/F_0)$ of exponent 2. This follows from Kummer theory and the fact that cosets $(X^2 + a^2)F_0^{*2}$, $a \in E^*$, generate an infinite subgroup of F_0^*/F_0^{*2} .

Assume now that $t \geq 2$, define F_0 and Ω_0 as above and denote by F_1 the algebraic closure of F_0 in F . Applying Lemma 3.1 and proceeding by induction on t , one concludes that F has valuation v trivial on F_1 , such that $v(F) =$

\mathbb{Z}^{t-1} , v is of height $t - 1$ and \widehat{F} is a formally real finite extension of F_1 . Fix a Henselization (F_v, \bar{v}) and an F_1 -isomorphic copy F'_1 of \widehat{F} in F_{sep} . It is easily verified that $F'_1\Omega_0$ is a formally real field and $F\Omega_0/F$ is a Galois extension. As v is of height $t - 1$, one proves, using repeatedly (2.1) and Lemma 2.5, that $I(F\Omega_0/F)$ contains infinitely many quadratic and inertial extensions of F relative to v . Therefore, there exist fields $Y_n \in I(F\Omega_0/F)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $[Y_n : F] = 2$, $[Y_1 \dots Y_n : F] = 2^n$ and $Y_1 \dots Y_n$ is inertial over F relative to v , for each index n . Fix a generator q_j of $\mathcal{G}(Y_j/F)$, and take elements $\pi_j \in F$, $j = 2, \dots, t$, so that $\langle v(\pi_2), \dots, v(\pi_t) \rangle = v(F)$. Put $\Delta_1 = A_{-1}(-1, -1; F)$ and consider the cyclic F -algebras $\Delta_j = (Y_j/F, q_j, \pi_j)$, $j = 2, \dots, t$. Since $F'_1\Omega_0$ is formally real, $A_{-1}(-1, -1; F) \otimes_F F'_1\Omega_0 \in d(F'_1\Omega_0)$, so it follows from Morandi's theorem, the noted properties of the fields Y_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the choice of π_2, \dots, π_t , that the F -algebra $\Delta = \Delta_1 \otimes_F \dots \otimes_F \Delta_t$ lies in $d(F)$ and $\Delta \otimes_F F_v \in d(F_v)$. This yields $\exp(\Delta) = 2$ and $\text{ind}(\Delta) = 2^t$, so (3.1) and Theorem 2.1 are proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] AUÉL A., E. BRUSSEL, S. GARIBALDI, U. VISHNE. *Transform. Groups*, **16**, 2011, 219–264.
- [2] CASSELS J. W. S., A. FRÖHLICH (eds). *Algebraic Number Theory*. Proc. Instruct. Conf., organized by LMS (a NATO Adv. Study Inst.) with the support of IMU, Univ. of Sussex, Brighton, 01.9-17.9., 1965, Academic Press, London-New York, 1967.
- [3] CHIPCHAKOV I. D. On the behaviour of Brauer p -dimensions under finitely-generated field extensions, Preprint, arXiv:1207.0965v3 [math.RA].
- [4] CHIPCHAKOV I. D. On Brauer p -dimensions and absolute Brauer p -dimensions of Henselian valued fields, Preprint, arXiv:1207.7120v4 [math.RA].
- [5] EFRAT I. *Isr. J. Math.*, **122**, 2001, 43–60.
- [6] EFRAT I. *Valuations, Orderings, and Milnor K -Theory*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, 124, Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., XIII, 2006.
- [7] JACOB B., A. WADSWORTH. *J. Algebra*, **128**, 1990, 126–179.
- [8] DE JONG A. J. *Duke Math. J.*, **123**, 2004, 71–94.
- [9] KAHN B. J. *Algebra*, **232**, 2000, 485–492.
- [10] LANG S. *Ann. Math. (2)*, **57**, 1953, 378–391.
- [11] LANG S. *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publ. Comp., Mass., 1965.
- [12] LIEBLICH M. *Compos. Math.*, **144**, 2008, 1–31.
- [13] LIEBLICH M. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **659**, 2011, 1–41.
- [14] LORENZ F., P. ROQUETTE. The theorem of Grunwald-Wang in the setting of valuation theory, F.-V. Kuhlmann et al. (ed.), *Valuation theory and its applications*, vol. II (Saskatoon, SK, 1999), 175–212, Fields Inst. Commun., **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [15] PARIMALA R., V. SURESH. Period-index and u -invariant questions for function fields over complete discretely valued fields, Preprint, arXiv:1304.2214v1 [math.RA].

- [16] PIERCE R. Associative Algebras, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. **88**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1982.
- [17] SALTMAN D. J. Generic algebras, Brauer groups in ring theory and algebraic geometry, Proc., Antwerp, 1981, Lect. Notes in Math., **917**, 1982, 96-117.
- [18] SALTMAN D. J. Lectures on Division Algebras, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., **94**, Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., VII, 1999.
- [19] SERRE J.-P. Galois Cohomology, Transl. from the French by Patrick Ion, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [20] WHAPLES G. Duke Math. J., **24**, 1957, 201–204.

*Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Bl. 8
1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
e-mail: chipchak@math.bas.bg*